

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

D-1 IVAN ARMSTRONG,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Case:2:21-cr-20040  
Judge: Murphy, Stephen J.  
MJ: Patti, Anthony P.  
Filed: 01-27-2021 At 04:34 PM  
INDI USA V IVAN ARMSTRONG (LG)

**INDICTMENT**

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

**COUNT ONE**

(18 U.S.C. §2119 - *Carjacking*)

D-1 IVAN ARMSTRONG

That on or about April 3, 2020, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant IVAN ARMSTRONG, with the intent to cause death or great bodily harm, did intentionally take a 2003 Hyundai Sonata that had been previously shipped in interstate or foreign commerce from the person or presence of another, and did so by

force, violence and intimidation, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2119.

**COUNT TWO**

(18 U.S.C. §924(c) - *Brandishing a Firearm During and in Relation to a Crime of Violence*)

D-1 IVAN ARMSTRONG

That on or about April 3, 2020, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant IVAN ARMSTRONG did use, carry and brandish a firearm, during and in relation to the commission of a crime of violence for which the defendant IVAN ARMSTRONG may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is, Carjacking as alleged in Count One of this indictment; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A).

**COUNT THREE**

(18 U.S.C. §922(g)(1) - *Felon in possession of a firearm*)

D-1 IVAN ARMSTRONG

That on or about April 3, 2020, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, the defendant, IVAN ARMSTRONG, knowing that he had been convicted of a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year, a felony offense, did knowingly and

unlawfully possess a firearm, to-wit: one Ruger, 9 mm pistol, which was manufactured outside the State of Michigan and thus traveled in interstate or foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(1).

**THIS IS A TRUE BILL.**

*s/Grand Jury Foreperson*  
**GRAND JURY FOREPERSON**

**MATTHEW SCHNEIDER**  
United States Attorney

**MATTHEW A. ROTH**  
Chief, Major Crimes Unit

*s/Jeanine Brunson*  
**JEANINE BRUNSON**  
Assistant United States Attorney  
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001  
Detroit, MI 48226-3211  
(313) 226-9597  
Jeanine.Brunson@usdoj.gov

Dated: January 27, 2021

United States District Court  
Eastern District of Michigan

**Criminal Case Cover**

Case:2:21-cr-20040  
Judge: Murphy, Stephen J.  
MJ: Patti, Anthony P.  
Filed: 01-27-2021 At 04:34 PM  
INDI USA V IVAN ARMSTRONG (LG)

NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attorney signing this form to complete

<b>Companion Case Information</b>	Companion Case Number:
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) <sup>1</sup> :	Judge Assigned:
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	AUSA's Initials: <b>CBC</b>

Case Title: USA v. IVAN ARMSTRONG

County where offense occurred : Wayne

Check One: ☒ Felony ☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Petty

☐ Indictment/ ☐ Information --- no prior complaint.  
☒ Indictment/ ☐ Information --- based upon prior complaint [Case number: 20-mj-30148]  
☐ Indictment/ ☐ Information --- based upon LCrR 57.10 (d) [Complete Superseding section below].

**Superseding Case Information**

Superseding to Case No: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ Corrects errors; no additional charges or defendants.  
☐ Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or adds counts.  
☐ Embraces same subject matter but adds the additional defendants or charges below:


Defendant name

Charges

Prior Complaint (if applicable)

Please take notice that the below listed Assistant United States Attorney is the attorney of record for the above captioned case.

January 27, 2021  
Date

  
 Jeanine Brunson  
 Assistant United States Attorney  
 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001  
 Detroit, MI 48226-3277  
 Phone: (313) 226-9597  
 Fax: (313) 226-2372  
 E-Mail address: jeanine.brunson@usdoj.gov  
 Attorney Bar #: P55429

<sup>1</sup> Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.